

**Federal Community Development and Affordable Housing Programs**

**Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report  
2019 Program Year in Review**



## Table of Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes .....	3
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted .....	12
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a) .....	12
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b) .....	16
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) .....	19
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j) .....	22
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j).....	23
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c) .....	25
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d).....	26
CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h) .....	28
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only) .....	28
CR-65 - Persons Assisted .....	36
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes.....	39
CR-75 – Expenditures.....	40

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

### Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

CDBG-See Unique Appendices.

HOME & HTF- Table 1 includes rental and homebuyer units either constructed or rehabilitated, with HOME and/or HTF funding. A TBRA program was not developed in Idaho.

Table 2- Accomplishments to date, reflects the total number of rental and homebuyer (Homebuyer properties developed by nonprofits and direct downpayment assistance) completed during the four program years of the 2015-2019 Five-year Consolidated Plan. It is noted, the 2015 CAPER total units numbers includes completed LIHTC units as well as HOME units in the total. The 2016, 2017, 2018 & 2019 CAPER totals include only HOME and HTF completed units.

### Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Economic Development- Downtown Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	8	6	75.00%	2	3	150.00%

Economic Development-Downtown Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	0	0		0	0	
Economic Development-Job Creation	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	300	0	0.00%	60	0	0.00%
Economic Development-Job Creation	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	8	0	0.00%			
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Housing Trust Fund: \$ / LIHTC: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	1042	268	2.78%	83	29	34.94%
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Housing Trust Fund: \$ / LIHTC: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	400	80	0.00%	15	0	0.00%
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Housing Trust Fund: \$ / LIHTC: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	225	157	4.89%	17	11	64.71%
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Housing Trust Fund: \$ / LIHTC: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	175	7	0.00%			
Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Housing Trust Fund: \$ / LIHTC: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	80	0	0.00%			

Provide Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Housing Trust Fund: \$ / LIHTC: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	5		0	5	
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	375	693	184.80%	375	693	184.80%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	3113	1354	43.50%	3548	1354	38.16%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	223	568	254.71%	185	568	307.03%

Provide Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Continuum of Care: \$ / ESG Match: \$ / HOPWA Competitive Grant: \$	Other	Other	335	0	0.00%			
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Compliance	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	108000	7233	6.70%	21622	7233	33.45%
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Compliance	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	100	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%

Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Compliance	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	40	0	0.00%	8	0	0.00%
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Compliance	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	40	0	0.00%	8	0	0.00%

Public Facilities/Infrastructure-New Construction	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	108000	11793	10.92%	21622	11793	54.54%
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-New Construction	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	100	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	108000	29841	27.63%	21622	29841	138.01%



Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	120	0	0.00%	24	0	0.00%
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	25	0	0.00%			
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	40	0	0.00%	8	0	0.00%

Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	40	0	0.00%	8	0	0.00%
Public Facilities/Infrastructure-Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		5	0	0.00%

**Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date**

**Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices.

HOME & HTF- The Five-Year Consolidated Plan identifies rental housing for extremely low-income households (0-30% AMI) as the highest priority housing need for the state of Idaho. To help address this need, IHFA has designated Extremely low-income households as one of three "Special Housing Needs Populations" for application scoring purposes; the other special housing needs populations are Elderly (62+) and Disabled. This designation provides additional points to multifamily rental applications if one of these populations are or more units one these 3 populations as a tenant preference. In 2019, HOME and HTF funds were committed to 3 new multifamily rental projects and 3 new single-family (less than 4 units) rental projects. In PY2019, 30 HOME-assisted units and 4 HTF-assisted rental units were completed and rented to qualified low, very low, and extremely low income households in Idaho.

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

### 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HTF
White	11,491	43	2,227	4
Black or African American	124	0	82	0
Asian	156	0	12	0
American Indian or American Native	130	1	123	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	28	0	31	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,929</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>2,475</b>	<b>4</b>
Hispanic	3,153	7	455	0
Not Hispanic	8,776	37	2,133	4

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

### Narrative

ESG- There were also 108 served under "Multiple Races", 7 served under "Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused", and 25 under "Data Not Collected". Total for all races = 2615.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	8,156,250	9,701,389
HOME	public - federal	7,206,650	6,664,552
ESG	public - federal	1,074,750	945,920
HTF	public - federal	3,000,000	2,470,012
Continuum of Care	public - federal	2,801,256	
Housing Trust Fund	public - federal	0	
LIHTC	private	4,800,000	
Other	private	1,905,237	
Other	public - federal	1,905,237	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

### Narrative

**CDBG- See Unique Appendices. PR 50 Expenditure Report for CDBG Program Year 2019.**

*HOME- PR-23 Summary of Accomplishments - does not include costs for administration*

*HTF- PR 07*

**Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments**

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

**Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments**

**Narrative**

CDBG- *See Unique Appendices for 2019 project maps.*

HOME and HTF- IHFA does not award HOME/HTF program funds based on geographic distribution model. IHFA awards funds to approved projects that have met IHFA and HUD criteria following a published NOFA and competitive scoring process. The criteria includes the market area demonstrated need, demonstrated long-term viability & sustainability of the project, owner and developer experience and current standing with IHFA. For rental activities, IHFA reviews the owner, the developer, and the management company and their previous experience and current capacity. In addition, the project’s application is reviewed for overall strength and long-term performance potential.

*There may a time when IHFA determines there is an area of Idaho with exceptional need for rental housing. In this case, the LIHTC program may provide additional points for projects or types of projects in that area, or other incentives, such as points for projects located in rural areas, or permanent supportive housing units. If IHFA makes such a determination, the need will be published following the normal NOFA process. During PY2019 HOME and HTF, funds were used to help create homebuyer and rental housing units in the following regions of Idaho. **See CR-15 Unique Appendices***

**Leveraging**

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

CDBG- *See Unique Appendices for 2019 Leveraging.*

<b>Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match</b>	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	10,693,489
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	1,880,159
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	12,573,648
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	1,003,558
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	11,570,090

**Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report**

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
HOPE Plaza	07/11/2019	27,000	22,593	0	0	0	0	49,593
Las Brisas	12/27/2018	95,833	0	200,000	0	0	0	295,833
Post Falls Seniors	12/21/2019	0	0	547,271	0	0	126,000	673,271
SF BMIR	06/01/2019	0	806,475	0	0	0	0	806,475
Westview Lofts	04/18/2019	27,000	27,986	0	0	0	0	54,986

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

**HOME MBE/WBE report**

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
4,163,769	4,159,428	3,907,999	0	4,415,198

Table 7 – Program Income

<b>Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period</b>						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	23,290,280	0	0	0	0	23,290,280
Number	5	0	0	0	0	5
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	115	1	0	0	4	110
Dollar Amount	14,022,141	90,950	0	0	320,458	13,610,733
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	23,290,280	18,133,658	5,156,622			
Number	5	2	3			
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	115	16	99			
Dollar Amount	14,022,141	570,999	13,451,142			

**Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</b>						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	5	0	0	0	0	5
Dollar Amount	23,290,280	0	0	0	0	23,290,280

**Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property**

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition</b> – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition**

**CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)**

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	115	44
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>44</b>

**Table 11 – Number of Households**



	<b>One-Year Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	40	43
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	75	1
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>44</b>

**Table 12 – Number of Households Supported**

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

The annual goal in non-homeless column in table #1 was set up in the Consolidated Plan to include Homelessness programs goals, not the HOME or HTF programs. This section in the 2019 CAPER reflects only HOME units.

In table #2, the production of new units exceeds the annual goal because of the increase of new construction of both single-family rental units and homebuyer units. This increase also reflects a decrease in the number of actual acquisition and rehabilitation of single-family homebuyer units and rental units. Acquisition and rehabilitation of single-family units as rental or homebuyer units is no longer feasible in most areas of Idaho. Multifamily rental acquisition and rehabilitation has slowed because preservation activities of existing USDA-RD and Section 8 units has currently slowed down.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

Affordable rental housing development activities will continue as market conditions allow and the projects are feasible in the long-term. Homebuyer activities will continue as the market conditions allow and the units remain affordable for low-income homebuyers with annual incomes at or below 80% AMI. In the current market, it is anticipated IHFA will target more of its allocation to rental housing activities.

**Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.**

<b>Number of Households Served</b>	<b>CDBG Actual</b>	<b>HOME Actual</b>	<b>HTF Actual</b>
Extremely Low-income	0	2	4
Low-income	0	28	
Moderate-income	0	14	
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>44</b>	

**Table 13 – Number of Households Served**

## **Narrative Information**

The table above provides a more detailed breakdown of household income levels for both the HOME and the HTF programs. It is noted the National Housing Trust Program is restricted to serving households who at initial occupancy, have an annual income of  $\leq 30\%$  AMI. The HOME Program is limited by regulation to serve households with an annual income of  $\leq 80\%$  AMI at initial occupancy. See Unique Appendices for completed HTF and HOME units during PY2019 by region.

### Worst Case Housing Needs

HUD defines U.S. households spending more than 30 percent of their income on housing as "Cost-burdened". A cost-burdened household can have difficulty affording other necessities such as food, clothing, transportation, and medical care. An estimated 12 million U.S. households now pay more than 50 percent of their annual incomes for housing. A family with one full-time worker earning the minimum wage cannot afford the local fair-market rent for two-bedroom apartment anywhere in the United States. [1] According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 49% of Idaho's renters are defined as Cost burdened[2]. The HOME and HTF funded projects require rent restrictions during the period of affordability which limit the amount of rent a low-income household is required to pay. HOME and HTF funds help increase the number of affordable rent restricted housing units in Idaho to help meet the needs of low-income cost-burdened renters.

## **CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

### **Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

The Idaho Balance of State (BOS) Continuum of Care (CoC) (ID-501) Board provides oversight for both CoC and ESG projects. There is always a currently or previously homeless individual serving on the CoC Board. The inclusion of individuals with firsthand experience of homelessness is essential to effective decision making in all CoC policies. The BOS CoC is comprised of 43 of Idaho's 44 counties. Our ESG program serves all of Idaho. As a result, the organizational structure successfully pools the knowledge and experience of all those participating, providing invaluable insight into the housing needs and services of the homeless individuals they serve. Additionally, each CoC and ESG subrecipient is required to have a homeless or formerly homeless member serving on that agency's Board. Subrecipients are also encouraged to provide forums or discussions for participants in their projects to provide feedback and direction regarding program policies and procedures. In 2019, the CoC is establishing a Youth Action Board to study the problem of youth homelessness in Idaho, and to participate in policy decisions for the CoC and ESG programs. This board will consist of members under the age of 25, a majority of whom will have lived experience with homelessness.

Each shelter and homelessness provider implements their own marketing strategies to ensure that their services are known to those in need of the services offered, and are easily accessible. All program subrecipients and sponsors are encouraged to perform outreach within their communities to increase project awareness and access. Outreach may include attending local service provider meetings, partnering with local agencies to pair resources, attending homeless stand down events, locating offices in accessible and visible locations, ensuring community provider lists include current agency information, and locating and engaging with homeless individuals, among other efforts.

### **Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

The need for emergency shelter services is high in Idaho, largely due to the high percentage of rural geography. For this reason, fifty percent (50%) of ESG funds are committed to shelter activities. The distribution of these funds is determined based on the response of Idaho's homeless-serving agencies to the funding availability notice and the scoring of each project's application. This allows agencies to demonstrate their capacity to administer grant funds and experience in serving the homeless population. The BOS CoC also addresses the needs of homeless persons by recognizing the benefit and success of rapid re-housing programs. Although this is a form of permanent housing, it is time restricted and can be used as a more efficient alternative to transitional housing. Higher efficiencies result in more people being served, more effectively. The BOS CoC currently funds 22 CoC projects specifically targeting homeless individuals and/or families. Services are associated with these housing projects to

ensure individuals and families receive the resources needed to obtain permanent housing and achieve self-sufficiency.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

In the absence of appropriate and effective intervention efforts and resources, many families and individuals will become homeless as life changes such as loss of employment, lack of affordable housing, loss of support networks, exit from an institution or facility, and other circumstances present themselves. The Idaho BOS CoC coordinates with the Idaho Department of Corrections, Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Idaho Department of Labor, and the State Department of Education to identify areas of concern and support for persons in their systems who may become homeless. For those that experience these misfortunes, recovery can be extremely difficult when disability, controlled substance or alcohol dependency, or other barriers exist. Certain individuals may require more permanent support and services, traditionally associated with permanent housing. The BOS CoC has made permanent housing a priority while serving Idaho's homeless population. All of the BOS CoC housing projects currently operating offer permanent housing options to homeless families and individuals.

IHFA and the BOS CoC acknowledge and support the commitment to preventing and ending homelessness displayed by HUD through *Opening Doors*. Whether a participant receives housing and service support through transitional or permanent housing, each agency strives to provide individualized goal-based service planning to increase the likelihood for long-term success, including self-sufficiency; ensure access to a permanent housing plan; and establish support networks and habits that reduce the likelihood of recidivism. These efforts are especially crucial in transitional and rapid re-housing programs to help individuals attain self-sufficiency. The BOS CoC continues to emphasize rapid re-housing during its Continuum of Care Competitions. This emphasis is designed to shorten the length of time that individuals and families experience homelessness.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

The Idaho Balance of State (BOS) CoC uses Homeless Connect, its Coordinated Entry system, to

transition homeless persons into permanent housing and independent living, especially the identified target populations (chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth). People experiencing homelessness, especially these priority populations, receive higher scores during assessment, which places them higher on the Homeless Connect queue. As openings become available, those highest in the queue are referred to housing. Service providers then work to rapidly transition households into housing, and work to keep them there.

Of the ESG funding *not* associated with shelter activities, almost 70% is for homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing efforts. These funds can be leveraged with services to assist families or individuals in evaluating their primary causes for being at risk of or becoming homeless. Doing so increases the probability that those receiving assistance will work to break the cycle of homelessness for their household in the future. Education in budgeting, finances, and credit can assist a family or individual in making the changes necessary to successfully maintain permanent housing.

The BOS CoC's Coordinated Entry process uses diversion and prevention efforts to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless. This effort includes a collaboration of state agencies, homeless network providers, both continuums of care in Idaho, and all others who treat, serve, or interact with the homeless on a regular basis.

## **CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

### **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

Households participating in IHFA's Federal Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program are eligible to be part of IHFA's Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Program. FSS is a voluntary program that helps families become economically independent. The goals include assisting families to become free of all forms of state and federal welfare through employment. Participants may receive an interest-bearing escrow (savings) account that accrues as their household's portion of the rent increases because of an increase in earned-income. This tax-free account is given to the family when they complete their FSS Contract of Participation and are free of welfare for 12 consecutive months. The program matches an individual's savings, up to \$2,000, dollar-for-dollar towards the down payment on a home.

### **Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

IHFA will hold regional PHA Plan hearings and perform outreach in each area that has an IHFA branch office that administers Section 8 vouchers to encourage participation in a Resident Advisory Board.

### **Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

No public housing programs within IHFA's jurisdiction are designated "troubled"

## **CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

See Unique Appendices

## **Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices

## **Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

CDBG-IDC requires all applicants to review projects for lead-based paint hazards.

IHFA: Continues to support the education of Idaho's citizens and communities regarding the hazards of lead-based paint. The State of Idaho has not adopted a state lead-based paint hazard reduction program. Because of this, all contractors, developers, real estate agents, and lenders involved in pre-78 residential housing must adhere to the EPA- RRP rule and any other federal oversight. Lead-based paint hazard reduction trainers, renovators, risk assessors, and paint testers, must be certified by EPA and follow the RRP Rule. When HUD funds are used to rehabilitate pre-78 housing, the owners must ensure the RRP is followed as well as HUD's Lead Safe Housing Rule. In many instances, HUD's rule is more stringent than EPA. As the administrator of HOME and HTF funds, which can be used to rehabilitate pre-78 housing, IHFA helps to insure the appropriate level of lead hazard identification and reduction activities are undertaken and program rules are followed.

**Action:** Whenever an activity includes rehabilitation of pre-78 residential housing, the owner and developer, and general contractor must provide evidence to IHFA they are an EPA-Certified Renovation Firm with an adequate supply EPA-certified renovation contractors in the area in which the project is located, to complete the work.

## **Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices

## **Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices

## **Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service**

**agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices

### **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

**Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.**

CDBG-See Unique Appendices.



**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

IDC does not propose any changes to its CDBG program objectives. IDC will continue refine the means and/or methods to achieve the goals to best meet the needs of Idaho. Changes are reflected in the Annual Action Plan or Consolidated Plan, and if needed, by an amendment.

**Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?**

No

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

## **CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**

### **Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

*See Attachments- 2019 Compliance Monitoring and Risk Assessment Report for HOME & HTF activities in their period of affordability.*

### **Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)**

During the period of affordability, HOME & HTF-assisted activities with five or more assisted units are required to follow their Affirmative Marketing Plan (AMP), based on requirements at 24 CFR 200.625. Vacant HOME and HTF units are required to be marketed to persons in the market area who are least likely to apply without special outreach without regard to race, age, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability. Owners update their Affirmative Marketing Plan every 5 years. A review of the affirmative marketing outreach as described in the activity's Affirmative Marketing Plan is a component of compliance monitoring.

**PY 2019 Assessment: Forty-Four (44) HOME & Four (4) HTF-assisted units** were completed during the program year. Of this total, 6 HOME units were initially occupied by white households who also identified as Hispanic and one American Indian/Alaskan Native. No other races were identified (PR-23 Summary of Accomplishments). In 2019, 13% of new HOME renters identified themselves as Hispanic. In 2019, 14% of homebuyers identified themselves as Hispanic. In 2019, the percentage of Hispanic households in Idaho is 12.8%.

### **Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

HOME & HTF- The 2019 Program year began with \$4,163,768.88 of HOME program income. Based on the IDIS PR-23, Summary of Accomplishments, the HOME program expended \$6,664,551.76 during the 2019 program year on affordable rental and homebuyer housing development activities. IHFA's HTF program does not currently generate any program income; during PY2019 it expended \$2,387,158.91 on affordable housing development for extremely low-income rental housing.

HOME Program Income was disbursed to 6 different homebuyer activities and 3 multifamily rental activities, and one Single Family Rental activity during PY2019.

When the development phase is complete on the homebuyer properties, the non-profit owners will sell the unit to an IHFA-qualified, HOME-eligible buyer whose annual income is  $\leq 80\%$  AMI. All six homebuyer activities are owned and developed by CHDOs serving the cities of Pocatello and Moscow and Kuna.

The HOME Single Family rental activity is located in Hailey, and will serve households who have an income of  $\leq 80\%$  AMI. The HTF Single Family rental activity is located in Boise and will serve households with an annual income of  $\leq 30\%$  AMI.

The three multifamily rental activities that received program income are located in Boise, Post Falls, and Coeur D Alene. The three multifamily rental projects are funded in partnership with LIHTC and are estimated to create 26 new constructed HOME units along with LIHTC units. These projects are located in the Ada and Kootenai counties. Because of the LIHTC requirements the HOME units will serve households who have an annual income of  $\leq 60\%$  AMI. Because the projects have more than 5 HOME units, the owners will be required to follow their Affirmative Marketing Plan and provide special outreach to those who would be least likely to apply.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

*See Unique Appendices*

**CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)**

**Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.**

During the 2019 program year, IHFA's HTF program continued to follow the approved HTF allocation plan and requirements of 24 CFR 93. During PY2019 several rental activities were underway, but only one activity was completed: The completed activity is defined as the acquisition and new construction of (4) four, 4-bedroom single-family rental unit in Boise. The units were initially occupied by white/non-Hispanic, (2) single-parent head of household, (1) Two parent Family of 6, and one Elderly.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	4	0	0	4	0	4
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period**

**CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)**

**ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps***

**For Paperwork Reduction Act**

**1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete**

**Basic Grant Information**

**Recipient Name** IDAHO  
**Organizational DUNS Number** 070022439  
**EIN/TIN Number** 820302333  
**Identify the Field Office** PORTLAND  
**Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance** Idaho Balance of State CoC

**ESG Contact Name**

**Prefix** Mr  
**First Name** Brady

**Middle Name** 0  
**Last Name** Ellis  
**Suffix** 0  
**Title** Vice-President Housing Support Programs

**ESG Contact Address**

**Street Address 1** 565 W. Myrtle  
**Street Address 2** 0  
**City** Boise  
**State** ID  
**ZIP Code** -  
**Phone Number** 2083314839  
**Extension** 0  
**Fax Number** 0  
**Email Address** BradyE@ihfa.org

**ESG Secondary Contact**

**Prefix** Ms  
**First Name** Lisa  
**Last Name** Steele  
**Suffix** 0  
**Title** Manager Homelessness Prevention Programs  
**Phone Number** 2083314844  
**Extension** 0  
**Email Address** LisaS@ihfa.org

**2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete**

**Program Year Start Date** 04/01/2019  
**Program Year End Date** 03/31/2020

**3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** SOUTHEAST IDAHO COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY  
**City:** Pocatello  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83204, 0940  
**DUNS Number:**  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 35000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** ST. VINCENT DE PAUL

**City:** Coeur D Alene

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83814, 3240

**DUNS Number:** 803834811

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 110000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** AID FOR FRIENDS

**City:** Pocatello

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83201, 5723

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 67106

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** SOUTH CENTRAL COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP

**City:** Twin Falls

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83301, 5527

**DUNS Number:** 070023569

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 37569

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** ADVOCATES AGAINST FAMILY VIOLENCE

**City:** Caldwell

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83606, 1496

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 75000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** IDAHO HOUSING AND FINANCE ASSOCIATION

**City:** Boise

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:** 070022439

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 96727

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** SOJOURNERS' ALLIANCE

**City:** Moscow

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:** 801830217

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 25280

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** CATCH, INC.

**City:** Boise

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:** 013858893

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 125000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** ONEIDA CRISIS CENTER, INC

**City:** Malad

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83252, 0174

**DUNS Number:** 614845068

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 25000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** ALTERNATIVES TO VIOLENCE OF THE PALOUSE

**City:** Pullman

**State:** WA

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:** 957166739

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 18000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** BANNOCK YOUTH FOUNDATION

**City:** Pocatello

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83204, 3053

**DUNS Number:** 795715754

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 21424

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Lemhi County Crisis Intervention

**City:** Salmon

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83467, 4318

**DUNS Number:** 006208693

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 52000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Family Promise of the Palouse

**City:** Moscow

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83843, 8901

**DUNS Number:** 078804880

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 20000



**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Family Services Alliance  
**City:** Pocatello  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83204, 3306  
**DUNS Number:** 156384963  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 28000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Family Promise of North Idaho  
**City:** Coeur D Alene  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83816, 2529  
**DUNS Number:** 625723916  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 23000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Safe Passage  
**City:** Coeur D Alene  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83814, 3059  
**DUNS Number:** 165918210  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 32000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Jesse Tree  
**City:** Boise  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83702, 6920  
**DUNS Number:** 104204842  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 90000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Salvation Army Nampa

**City:** Nampa

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83651, 4247

**DUNS Number:** 181980988

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 28500

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Volunteers Against Violence

**City:** Twin Falls

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83301, 6053

**DUNS Number:** 794424184

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 48000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Salvation Army Lewiston

**City:** Lewiston

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83501, 7046

**DUNS Number:** 074629460

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 18847

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Promise Ridge

**City:** Idaho Falls

**State:** ID

**Zip Code:** 83402, 4017

**DUNS Number:** 081327495

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 45000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Crossroads Harbor  
**City:** Rupert  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83350, 0334  
**DUNS Number:** 080989060  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Y  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 15000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Idaho Youth Ranch  
**City:** Boise  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83706, 1213  
**DUNS Number:** 073017006  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 23297

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Idaho Anti-Trafficking Coalition  
**City:** Eagle  
**State:** ID  
**Zip Code:** 83616, 6025  
**DUNS Number:** 094079883  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 15000

## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	291
Children	276
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>568</b>

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	318
Children	375
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>693</b>

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	915
Children	438
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,354</b>

Table 18 – Shelter Information

#### 4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

#### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,524
Children	1,089
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,615</b>

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

#### 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	1,109
Female	1,504
Transgender	1
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,615</b>

Table 21 – Gender Information

## 6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	<b>Total</b>
Under 18	1,089
18-24	195
25 and over	1,329
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,615</b>

Table 22 – Age Information

## 7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

### Number of Persons in Households

<b>Subpopulation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – Prevention</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – RRH</b>	<b>Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters</b>
Veterans	78	10	9	59
Victims of Domestic Violence	382	14	56	312
Elderly	76	12	16	48
HIV/AIDS	6	1	0	5
Chronically Homeless	263	0	99	164
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill	321	27	25	269
Chronic Substance Abuse	165	3	10	152
Other Disability	297	23	32	242
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	1,588	90	247	1,251

Table 23 – Special Population Served

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	94,067
Total Number of bed-nights provided	55,164
Capacity Utilization	58.64%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

### 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

1. How many participants in this project will maintain or increase employment and other income? 1277 out of 1524 achieved (83.7%)
2. How many participants in this project will exit to permanent housing destinations within 60 days? 935 out of 1425 achieved (65.6%)
3. How many participants will exit the program receiving at least one mainstream resource in addition to obtaining or retaining housing? 1953 out of 1992 achieved (98%)
4. How many clients receiving homelessness prevention will not enter a HUD-funded shelter or rapid re-housing program within twelve (12) months of receiving assistance? 380 out of 513 (74%)

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	97,547	132,053	91,192
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	12,542	27,048	9,466
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	17,150	29,829	10,560
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>	<b>127,239</b>	<b>188,930</b>	<b>111,218</b>

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	171,723	151,380	64,050
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	111,208	144,661	109,016
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	13,933	11,341	16,787
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>	<b>296,864</b>	<b>307,382</b>	<b>189,853</b>

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing



**11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter**

	<b>Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year</b>		
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
Essential Services	130,856	155,399	116,320
Operations	421,695	553,687	402,037
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>552,551</b>	<b>709,086</b>	<b>518,357</b>

**Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter**

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

	<b>Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year</b>		
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	35,226	71,172	53,447
Administration	36,165	57,445	73,045

**Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures**

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

<b>Total ESG Funds Expended</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
	1,048,045	1,334,015	945,920

**Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended**

**11f. Match Source**

	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	43,836	97,045	122,914
State Government	503,000	1,017,305	726,814
Local Government	57,150	124,490	154,190
Private Funds	613,676	788,406	970,091
Other	370,464	558,305	563,956
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
<b>Total Match Amount</b>	<b>1,588,126</b>	<b>2,585,551</b>	<b>2,537,965</b>

**Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**

**11g. Total**

<b>Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
	2,636,171	3,919,566	3,483,885

**Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities**